S-E-C-R-E-T

ATTACHMENT #2

### TRAINING REPORT

TEAM/CROUP: PERUMEN II
(211 hours, full-time)

11 July - 13 August 1966 (Date)

Student: FRANK

life 6

## COURSE OBJECTIVE AND CONTENT

This course is designed to train indigenous personnel in paramilitary resistance activities and intelligence collection operations in denied areas. Approximately 70% of the student's time is devoted to practical work and field exercises and 30% to the theoretical aspects essential for a logical understanding and application of training covered during the course.

#### PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

\* U - Unsatisfactory Performance is so low in relation to requirements of the work as to be unequivocally below minimum standards.

Achieved minimum standards, but less than adequate (in terms of indigenous personnel participating in the program).

A - Adequate Has achieved the basic level required. Satisfactory, characterized neither by deficiency nor excellence.

P - Proficient

More than satisfactory. Has acquired a solid
beginner's proficiency. This rating may be
interpreted as representing "average" on our rating
scale.

Exceptional proficiency, characterized by thoroughness, initiative, originality, and an exceptional
student understanding and application of paramilitary
resistance activities.

Performance is so exceptional in relation to requirements of the work and in comparison to the performance of other students doing similar work as to warrant special recognition.

\* Modification of the Office of Training standard rating scale at the specific request of JMMAVE.

S-E-C-R-E-1

FRANK

# S-E-C-R-E-T

		HOURS	RATING	
1.	MAP READING (ABILITY TO REPORT LOCATIONS USING GRID COORDINATES)	10		
2.	NAUTICAL CHARTS	<u>12</u> 8		
	<del></del>		<u> </u>	
3.	WEAPONRY (U.S. & FOREIGN)	_31_	<u> P</u>	
4.	CLANDESTINE MOVEMENT			
5.	RESISTANCE ORGANIZATION AND OPERATIONS	20	P	
6.	AMBUSH TACTICS AND OPERATIONS .	5#	8	
7.	RAID TACTICS AND OPERATIONS	24	P	
8.	CACHING	_20_	P	
.9.	CLANDESTINE MOVEMENT LINES (E&E)			
10.	OBSERVATION AND DEPORTING TECHNIQUES	40	8	
11.	LEADERSHIP TRAITS AND CAPABILITY	See Comments		
12.	OPERATIONAL PLANNING ABILITY	See Comments		
13.	SKETCHING	12		
14.	SABOTAGE (SEE ATTACHED STB REPORT)		-	
15.	TARGET PHOTOGRAPHY			
16.	AIR RECEPTION ACTIVITIES	20	P	
17. WEAPONS QUALIFICATION Pistol (TEST RESULTS ATTACHED) Rifle		Mon-Applicable		
		Sharpshooter		
SMG		Sharpshooter		
OVERALL PERFORMANCE				
OVERTIME PERFORMANCE			RATING LETTER	
() 회사 (1995년 1월 1945년 1일 - 1일				

Rating takes into account trainee's effectiveness, such as performance in operational skills, tactics, techniques, leadership, planning, teamwork, motivation and limitations.

2

S-E-C-R-E-T

FRANK

### S-E-C-R-E-T

The ratings above, and narrative comments hereunder, are derived from a synthesis of all evaluations submitted on each respective trainee by the instructor staff.

Overall performance ratings of all students in this class were as follows:

WEAK O ADEQUATE O PROFICIENT 6 STRONG O CUISTANDING O

### MARRATIVE COMMENTS

Over-all, FRANK was the most conscientious and hardest working student in his class. His serious attitude and fine performance warranted a highly proficient rating.

In preparation of plans, completion of written exercises, and execution of field problems, he demonstrated a high level of achievement.

During the Ambush Exercise, FRANK had a leadership role during which he adhered to a detailed plan, exerted good control over the team, and produced a very successful ambush operation.

Prepared BY:

APPROVED AND FORWARDED:

8/30/66

Project Ullicer

Chief Instructor

Date

FOR THE DIRECTOR OF TRAINING:

3

S-E-C-R-E-T

FRANK - Frank was the hardest working student of the group. He consistently at led himself to all phases of the aining with equal and great diligence. However, his practical work, which took him a great deal of time to perform, was always a little sloppy. When Frank crimped detonators or threw improvised grenades, he was with other hazardous material. He asked many pertinent questions which contributed to the group's training and always answered test well to correctly and in detail. He was cooperative and reacted instructors were concerned about his nervousness with detonators. His overall performance was rated as proficient.

SECRET